

## STATE OF NEW YORK OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL EMPIRE STATE PLAZA

EMPIRE STATE PLAZA AGENCY BLDG. 2, 16<sup>TH</sup> FLOOR ALBANY, NEW YORK 12223 (518) 474-1010

Catherine Leahy Scott INSPECTOR GENERAL

65 COURT STREET, 5<sup>™</sup> FLOOR BUFFALO, NEW YORK 14202 (716) 847-7118

September 19, 2014

William R. Davis, Jr.
Director
New York State Office of Emergency Management
1220 Washington Avenue
Building 22, Suite 101
Albany, New York 12226-2251

RE: NYS IG 1327-168-2013

Dear Mr. Davis:

61 BROADWAY, SUITE 2100

(212) 635-3150

NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10006

On July 18, 2013, the Office of Emergency Management (OEM) filed a complaint with this office alleging that OEM employee falsified a document after it had been returned to OEM by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) for revision.

As you are aware, FEMA allocated funding to municipalities and counties for flood damage resulting from Hurricanes Irene and Lee. OEM's Mitigations Program Office is tasked with processing grant applications and serving as a conduit for funding from FEMA to New York State municipalities and counties.

a Disaster Preparedness Program Representative in the Mitigations Program Office
is responsible, among other duties, for preparing and reviewing grant application forms prior to
submission to FEMA. In March 2013, as part of a grant request from the Village of
Mamaroneck, prepared a FEMA Construction Project Budget form (form 20-15), which
includes a breakdown of costs for the project under consideration. forwarded the form to
Mamaroneck Assistant Manager for his signature. Freturned the signed
form on the same day, and several days later forwarded the signed form, along with the
grant application package, to FEMA.
On June 21, 2013, FEMA emailed advising that his calculation of the total project

on June 21, 2013, FEMA emailed advising that his calculation of the total project cost of \$494,983 was erroneous; the actual total was \$503,983, as reflected in budget information previously submitted to FEMA by Mamaroneck. The FEMA email directed to submit a new form with the correct sum. Twenty minutes later, emailed FEMA a revised form that

effected the correct total of \$503,983, obviously altered by hand. In his sworn testimony to my ffice, admitted that he altered the number to make the form "congruent" with the budget umbers. Further admitted that he did not submit a revised form to in Mamaroneck or signature before emailing it to FEMA, nor did he advise of the mistake or ask his ermission to correct it.
When supervisor, who had been copied on the email from FEMA, and already altered the document and returned it protests that he did not alter the document, ordered him to withdraw the application from FEMA and obtain a corrected set of occuments from Mamaroneck. According to the was unaware of any alterations to the form he signed in March 2013 until asked him to sign a revised form on July 3, 2013.
On September 2, 2013, an outside auditor retained by OEM, advised our office that he id not find any additional documents altered by
In addition to the improper conduct described above, our investigation uncovered vidence that provided false information on his application for employment with OEM as well as on his application submitted to the Department of Civil Service to qualify for the Disaster reparedness Program Representative 2 examination, both dated March 2007. On his OEM answered "no" to the question if he had ever been convicted of a crime or a foliation. In fact,
On his divil Service examination application, also answered "no" to the question if he had been convicted of any misdemeanor or felony. Due to his status as a Public Employees Federation expresented employee, upon transfer to OEM position in 2007, was not subject to a criminal ackground check. However, reference checks with his prior employers, including the repartment of Health, should have uncovered false statements on the applications and DEM may have chosen not to hire him.
Based on these findings, I recommend that OEM take appropriate disciplinary action against In addition, OEM should ensure that all appropriate employees are aware of established rocedures for processing grant applications, including procedures for amending previously submitted rant applications. OEM should also review its practices with respect to consulting job applicants' ormer employers.
I request that within 45 days of this letter, you advise my office of any actions or decisions taken response to our recommendations. If you have any questions regarding the implementation of my ecommendations, please contact Audrey Maiello Cunningham at 518-474-1010.
Sincerely,  Catherine Leahy Scott Inspector General